

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
10

11 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  
12 Plaintiff,  
13 vs.  
14 MIGUEL NOLASCO-HERNANDEZ,  
15 Defendant.  
16

Case No. 12-CR-2019 L  
ORDER DENYING  
MOTION TO VACATE  
[ECF No. 26.]

17 Pending before the Court is Petitioner Miguel Nolasco-Hernandez's Motion  
18 to Vacate, Set Aside, or Correct Sentence pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255. [ECF No.  
19 26)]. The Court has reviewed the Motion, as well as the relevant records on the  
20 docket.

21 The record establishes that on June 14, 2012, Petitioner entered into a fast-  
22 track Plea Agreement, and waived both his right to appeal and collaterally attack  
23 his conviction and sentence. (*Plea Agreement* [Doc. 16] ¶ 11.) He claims that his  
24 attorney did not inform him of the consequences of waiving his rights, and  
25 therefore he did not make an intelligent and educated waiver of his rights.  
26 However, a thorough review of the Plea Agreement shows that Petitioner  
27 knowingly and voluntarily waived his right to appeal and collaterally attack his  
28 sentence. This Court, therefore, lacks jurisdiction to consider any collateral

1 challenge to his conviction and sentence. See Washington v. Lampert, 422 F.3d  
2 864 F.3d 864, 869–70 (9th Cir. 2005) (recognizing that if sentencing stipulation’s  
3 waiver of the right to file a federal habeas petition was valid, district court lacked  
4 jurisdiction to hear the case).

5 Accordingly, the Court **DENIES** the Motion [ECF No. 26].

6 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

7  
8 DATED: August 15, 2013

9  
10   
11 M. James Lorenz  
12 United States District Court Judge  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28